

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/928,664	09/12/97	NUBER	ı	W	323.35634X00	
- QM02/0914			914	EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT & KRAUS				- WELDON	,K	
SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET				· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON VA 22209				3752	7	
		, ,		DATE MAILED): 09/14/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ſ					
Office Action Summary	928664 Examiner	$-\mathcal{N}_{\ell}$	Group Art Unit	\ 				
	K Weld		OI DUD ALL DILL					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1 1 4 -			· ·				
☐ This action is FINAL.								
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,			n as to the meri	ts'is closed				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fa application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ex 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ilure to respond within	n the period	for response w	ill cause the				
Disposition of Claims								
(Claim(s) 1-17	·	is/are p	ending in the ap	plication.				
·	is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
Claim(s)		is,	are allowed.					
Claim(s) 1-17								
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.							
☐ Cla ims	are subject	to restriction	on or election re	quirement.				
Application Papers								
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	awina Review, PTO-9	48.						
The drawing(s) filed on is/are o	•							
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.								
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.		,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·.						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).								
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been								
received.								
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)								
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	riority under 25 II C C	· 5 110/a\		•				
·	nonty under 35 U.S.C	9 119(e).	r					
Attachment(s)								
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	61 - (-)			•				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413								
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	D-948			,				
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152								
				,				
SEE OFFICE ACTION (ON THE FOLLOWING PA	4 <i>GES</i>						

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-95)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 08/928664

Art Unit: 3752

Claims 1-17 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of

The following examples are illustrative of the unclear and confusing language found in the claims. In claim 1 line 1 the word "relates" is considered to be indefinite. Is it a covering device or not?

In claim 1 line 3 the phrase "the outer panel", in line 5 "the released position" lack proper antecedent basis.

The word "preferably" in claim 3 line 8, claim 5 line 3 and 4, claim 6 line 2 ant throughout the claims is considered to be indefinite.

The claims have been examined as best understood by the examiner.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 08/928664

Art Unit: 3752

3. Claims 1-6,10 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epple in view of Nullet.

spray nozzle is extendable/retractable and includes a cover (column 4 lines 1-10) for sealing the

The Epple patent discloses a windshield spraying nozzle that is adjacent a headlamp. The

nozzle opening to protect the sprayer from clogging (column 1 lines 24-35). Epple has two guides 1' and 2' for positioning the sprayer. The claimed invention is distinguishable from Epple by

Lits recitation of a hinged door which fits securely in the opening of the vehicles outer cover.

The Nullet patent discloses a pop up sprinkler that is extendable/retractable and has a hinged cover 7 that protects a pop-up sprinkler head as well-known in the art. It is deemed to have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute a hinged cover as taught by

Nullet for the integral cover/nozzle housing in Epple to permit the nozzle to be retracted deeper into the interior of the car to prevent possible freezing.

4. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epple in view of Nullet as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Costa.

It is deemed to have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a spring as taught by Costa et al at 20 to ensure that the cap in Epple as modified is closed shut upon retraction of the windshield nozzle.

5. Claims 7-9 and 17 are would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Serial Number: 08/518866

Art Unit:

Cī

Ţ

ļ.

ΠŲ

al _===== >.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Camier et al and Polllock are cited for their retractable nozzles. Strawn and Culbertson are cited for their covers.

7. This application is informal in the arrangement of the specification. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to "Microfiche Appendix" and the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications.
- © Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix" (see 37 CFR 1.96).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
 - 1. Field of the Invention.
 - Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.
- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (i) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings.
- (l) Sequence Listing (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Weldon whose telephone number is (703) 308-1117. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fridays from 7 am to 6 pm.

Serial Number: 08/518866

Art Unit:

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andres Kashnikow, can be reached on (703) 308-1137. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7764.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0861.

Keyin Weldon